International Journal ofAdvances in Computer Science and Its Applications–IJCSIA Volume 4: Issue 2 [ISSN : 2250-3765]

# Algorithm for Tracking Sensitive Information of Online Application in Computer Memory

Khairul Akram Zainol Ariffin, Ahmad Kamil Mahmood, Jafreezal Jaafar, Solahuddin Shamsuddin

Abstract— With the advance in technology and the introduction of cloud computing, the usage of Internet application has been increased rapidly. In addition, the online storages with password protected such as Dropbox, Box Sync, Copy and Cloud Me are already available to all users. Hence, with a rapid development and introduction of this technology, the analysis on the hard drive has become obsolete in retrieving the information from those applications. The aim of this paper is to present an algorithm to track the sensitive information from online application for both active and exile process in the computer memory. The algorithm encompasses the signature search to find the possible processes in the memory, obtain the user and machine data and then some sensitive information with regard to the online application. The algorithm will be independent of address translation algorithm that has been frequently applied in the retrieving technique in the past.

*Keywords*— Algorithms, Information Retrieval, Digital Forensics, Memory Analysis, Signature Search

# I. INTRODUCTION

In these modern days, computer system has been an important part of our daily life. The introduction of computer and the technology within it give a benefit to the human and ease our daily routine. However, as it brings a bright advantage to us, it also introduces a negative effect such as cybercrime to our world. A report from ITU had stated that there were about 2, 749 millions of Internet users over March 2013 and out of that amount, it was expected about 625 million owned the personal cloud storage [1], [2]. As the amount of online users has been increasing over the years, there is a possibility that the quantum of cybercrime

K.A Zainol Ariffin Dpartment of Digital Forensic, CyberSecurity Malaysia, Mines, Selangor, Malaysia

A.K Mahmood Computer Information System Department, University Teknologi Petronas, Perak, Malaysia

J Jaafar Computer Information System Department, University Teknologi Petronas, Perak, Malaysia

S Shamsuddin Research Department, CyberSecurity Malaysia, Mines, Selangor, Malaysia victim will be increased as well as the cybercriminal since the Internet has eased the method to conduct the cybercrime. In addition, the introduction of online storage will overtake the responsibility of the hard disk as the primary storage of data. The cybercriminal can use this available service as a medium to store the information with regard to the crime as it is protected by the password. Hence, this facility has become a problematic for the digital forensic investigator since the traditional approach (analysis on the hard drive) is no longer applicable in this situation.

As the technology in computer system merge, a field that is known as Digital Forensic has been established to handle the problem in cybercrime. This field is responsible in colleting, preserving, analyzing, documenting and presenting the contents of computer as evidence of the cybercrime [3]. In the past, the investigation on the computer contents was only towards the non-volatile drive whilst the computer memory analysis was only applied for investigating the malware behavior [4]. Until recently, and with the merged of online storage, the investigation on computer memory has become critical as it can still hold sensitive data such as username, password and decrypted version of data. Hence, in 2005, the importance of memory analysis in Digital Forensic has been outlined at Digital Forensics Research Workshops (DFRWS) where two tools had been designed and developed that were known as Memparser [5] and KntList [6].

### **II. LITERATURE REVIEWS**

The knowledge and theories that is required for memory analysis has been outlined in Dhamdhere [7] and Rusnovich and Soloman [8]. Both books discuss about the internal structure, address translation algorithm and the procedure of object creation that is an important knowledge for tracking the data in the computer memory. Further, the study in Amari, K [9] has demonstrated the importance of kernel as it is responsible to store the objects on the pools of memory. By depending on the criticality of the objects in the computer memory, most of the objects are stored in paged pool as it allows the swapping process to the hard disk when memory is running low in space [10]. Whereas the important structure such as processes and threads are stored on the non-paged pool as the kernel needs to access them frequently. This scenario justifies that some of the important information such as running objects can be obtained from memory analysis [11].

A tool known as VADTool has been developed in 2007 where the work of it is based on tracking the status of object space that is stored in the Virtual Address Description (VAD). This internal structure is maintained by the memory manager and it stores the information on the attributes of the object such as range of the address, inheritance of child and object's security [12]. Apart from that, XORSearch [13] is a



#### Publication Date : 25 June, 2014

tool for memory forensic where the work is based on the string search technique. It takes a keyword as an input, and then performs the search throughout the memory dump and with additional function, this tool is able to find the keywords that have already been obfuscated.

AccessData [14] group has designed a tool that is known as Forensic Toolkit (FTK) which relies on the Process Environment Block to locate and retrieve the executable file and DLL's path from the memory. It will parse all the active processes in the memory and enumerate all the contents within them. In addition to that, Windows Memory Forensic Toolkit has stated the role of Directory Table Base (DTB) in the address translation algorithm for tracking the active processes and other linked objects [15]. In 2009, Ruichao Zhang, Lianhai Wang, Shuhui Zhang [16] had demonstrated the data extraction from memory dump by using Kernel Processor Control Region (KPCR). On the same year, S.M Hejazi, C. Talhi, M. Debbabi [17] has outlined the use of fingerprint to track username and password for email and messenger application from memory dump. Meanwhile, FTFinder [18] is a tool that applies the file carving where this technique is done linearly to recover the contiguous file. The technique is used in this tool due to the theory that the operating system will convert the file to be contiguous file instead of fragment file.

# **III. METHODOLOGY**

The main aim of this paper is to provide an algorithm that can justify the existence of the online application that run on the machine and then listing the method to retrieve some interesting information from them. The algorithm will start by identifying the user, the architecture and some relevant information about the machine. Then, all the processes in the machine's memory are captured by using the proã signature search technique. This technique is chosen against all the other techniques that has been discussed in previous section because of less information required for process tracking and the advantage of detecting both exile and hidden process. Finally, if the online application is detected from the process list, a unique signature or protocol is applied as a string search to locate and capture the content of them. The interested contents to be captured are the uniform resource locator (url) from the tabs of internet browser, username and password. This algorithm will not include the address translation algorithm which has been frequently applied for object tracking in memory in the past. The overall work of algorithm is based on the following rules:

RULE 1: Capturing the user and the machine information.

In theory, the information about the user and machine occur a number of times in the computer memory. It acts as an identifier of the machine for most applications that run on the machine. This information is important for forensic investigation as it is not only for the validation of the machine as true exhibit, but it also gives an idea about the architecture of the machine which is critical if the analyst wants to apply address translation algorithm to retrieve objects. The information can be obtained by searching for the ALLUSERPROFILE signature in the computer memory image. The size of this object is about 95 blocks of byte which may contain the directory, processor architecture, system root, computer name and other relevant information. Figure 1 show the representation of this signature in the memory image.

RULE 2: Identify, capture and extract the general information about all the processes in the computer memory image.

In order to identify the online application that has been run on the machine, it is necessary to capture all the processes that have been loaded on the memory. Proã signature search technique is selected for the processes tracking because of its ability to capture both active and exile processes. It starts by capturing all possible entities that may represent the process block, and then select only the true process blocks. Finally, all the processes are arranged in the Process Block Tree (PBT) according to its seniority (parent or child process). Once the PBT has been constructed, the online application such as web browser and cloud storage can be identified and categorized as active or exile process. Overall, this technique has been discussed in detail in [19], [20].

RULE 3: Identify the online application from PBT and capture the sensitive information about them.

In the normal approach, the data that links with the process block can be tracked by using address translation algorithm. This method work perfectly with the active objects, however for hidden and exile processes, the chance to retrieve the linked objects will depend on whether their pointer still resides in the memory. By depending on the size of the computer memory and the activity that is run on the machine, the availability of the pointer is random and there is a chance that new objects have overwritten it [21]. Due to this scenario, the process enumeration technique will not work perfectly and thus, in this paper the unique signature is used to capture the information of the exile online application (process).

In theory, most of the tabs in Internet browser use the similar structure to store the information about the open url link. This structure can be retrieved from the computer memory by using "*window*": keyword as shown in figure 2. By searching for this keyword, the information about the tabs of Internet browser can be retrieved as the information is stored in the entry. Each of the entry will consist of the url name, ID, docShellID, doc ID, title and the referrer of the url links.



#### International Journal ofAdvances in Computer Science and Its Applications–IJCSIA Volume 4: Issue 2 [ISSN : 2250-3765]

Publication Date : 25 June, 2014

		1	1	1	4		1	1	1	1	4	Ŧ	1	1	5	T.	18	4	11	11	14		U.	Dependent in the second
14008772	11	60	99	10	00	П	00	99	11	01	11	.00	11	00	71	12	77	日	6	Ħ		33	13	and a sector and the sector of
14000083	22	16	11	31	12	14	4	82	11	22	-11	58	1	22	11	ćΕ	1	72	12	έŝ	12	22	14	[]"tabs":[]"estries":
11002111	31	78		75	12	-81	茸	38	11	61	12	67	15	74	11	莳	-	€Ď	-	22	10	22	11	[["url": "about: home", "t
HOUSED	41	74	10	Ш	12	18	22	ŧI.	推	7h	44	60	ł.	61	11	46	33	32	-63	46	j2	78	20	itle":"Werills Firefor:
HODITE	51	14	浦	71	T	31	70	65	-67	65	11	20	1	49	H	12	38	31	20	22	-14	11	61	Start Page", "D0":0, "dec
110355113	71	68	-11	60	10	11	44	22	16	35	20	22	H	68	-0	49	61	65	-52	74	-63	11	-68	shellID":5, "dagIdentifi
HORSE	ff	71		34	10	10	10	78	п	73	11	60	11	34	ÌÌ.	68	31	74	1	-13	16	27	71	sr":0),("orl":"bttps://
11009141	77	77	#	11	15	έŦ	a	\$7	AC.	65	11	=	ił	6D	11	†ì.	e.	Eİ	11	£1	=	IF	14	www.google.com/mearchig
TACOPUAN.	31	73	0	78	72	14	11	11	76	品	16	49	11	'n	71	74	H	20	Ċ.	26	12	11	30	*sky+drive@le*stf-date*.
Fig 2	1	Re	pı	es	sei	nta	ati	or	1	of	t	he		sta	ırt	in	g	0	f	in	fo	rn	nat	tion for Interne
Brow	se	r t	ał	)S	in	tł	ıe	W	/iı	nd	0	ws	n	ne	m	or	y :	im	na	ge				

Incidentally, the online storage can also run separately from the Internet browser. Therefore, it is not possible to capture the sensitive information such as username and password by relying only on the information of the Internet browser tabs. In general, the application will still use the HTTP or HTTPS protocol to transmit the information between server and client. If the application is using the HTTPS protocol, the process of encrypting the data that happen in the computer memory will give a slightly good percentage for tracking this sensitive information. This is due to the fact that the decrypted version of the data still exists in the memory if it is not been overwritten. This can be captured through the communication between server and client. Apart from the password and username, other important information such as ID, name and machine can also been attached together which can be used for verification purposes (verify that it come from the same machine). Figure 3 show the presentation of the username and password location on memory image for Copy application that is captured by using HTTP/1.1 fingerprint search.

	į,	L.	1	J.	1	1			1	Ť.	ŝ.	1	5	.0	1	ł	10	п	12	10	11	15	35	011045678548288901233456
DISTRICT.	10	11		11	01	10	11	00	10	11	00	11	11	47	53	54	10	21	64	73	1	68	71	
TRAINERS	50	63	ES	48	ŝī	54	11	27	11	21	ц	10	03	48	έĪ	73	15	31	30	61	31	69	12	pc WTTD/1.1. Host: api.
TEAL ATE	0	θŦ.		73	11	63	12	60	10	44	łİ.	63	01	65	21	74	1A	21	24	11	23	ί¢	16	cepy.cemRccept: */*
17425116	41	17	=	65	T.	14	35	45	11	ŧ1	ù.	64	=7	ΰĒ.	0	38	10	67	18	£8	71	20	14	Accept-Enceding: grip.d
(TREFIER)	ė	56	R	61	24	药	12	.0.4	1E	21	41	71	43	20	56	63	tr.	73	-9	ŧŧ	68	3A	21	utlataX-Api-Terminh:
11415114	ÍI.	2s		1p	0.	58	11	-13	íċ	í١	Ē	往	1×	10	έÐ	61	188	佰	12	68	后	20	15	1.0
11111111	14	34	m	31	11	33	33	33	16	65		10	11	66	11	31	30	34	31	15	31	10	15	d: 155558#3-#fc1-#732-#
1161511	11	42	=	άĎ	ń	32	11	-32	16	÷1	÷.	33	16	卣	11	33	10	24	1,5	ID	11	éC.	11	3be-72e34ce96e41X-011
CPALSED.	Ē	15	18	20	51	61	11	68	19	íΤ	ē	20	12	61	10	63	16	21	45	48	41	49	11	ent-Machine-Name: KEAIS
THEFT	÷÷.	÷ċ.	10	36	31	41	3n	44	17	38	10	14	55	20	41	63	10	65	12	74	75	40	-11	TL-6186079X-Client-Na
THELEFT	G.	11	8	÷Ē.	£1	20	ii.	73	14	72	14	28	-12	64	10	63	42	83	17	14	77	61	24	chine-Veer: Administrat
11415018	ie.	72	00	16	51	20	01	10	8	65	à	74	11	ŧΞ	11	56	-83	72	13	69	÷.	68	11.	st. X-Cliest-OsVersian;
LI ALSCON	żż	35	波	31	ini.	CA	11	23	13	÷¢,	61	样	11	74	20	54	23	÷1	15	34	11	31	11	5.1. D-Client-fine: 13
SERVICE.	11	35	11	33	11	34	71	30	11	11.	58	ŻĐ	11	éc	63	63	55	74	10	34	21	.70	13	\$1191630T-Client-Type
11425055	34	28	TT.	63	11	00	11	58	ID	41	67	69	-	ΰĒ.	24	23	56	-65	12	15	ŧī	őř.	11	r win. X-Client-Version
ADDRESS IN	14	21	Ц	21	11	30	п	31	11	38	Ħ	10	<b>1</b> 14	43	31	63	14	65	10	74	'n	40	=	1 1.48.0196Context-Le
EFRISCR1	Ġ.	47	14	68	14	20	31	33	13	11	ŝà	13	莊	έz	31	65	10	74	20	14	11	10	11	sith: 123Content-Type
19615051	1A	21	61	78	31	60	11	63	1Ű	74	18	ίŧ	11	îŧ	71	27	ŤŤ.	23	17	10	51	δĒ	12	i application/z-www-for
1311304	Ē2	21	Th	72	1	65	12	63	ΞĮ.	54	6	54	12	14	T	0A	15	22	19	54	21	34	22	s-urlancods0)*id*:*
1000E1411	ŚŔ,	22	25	22	-14	12	11	63	12	78	e	22	38	22	12	28	30	72	20	22	11	65	16	1', 'jsenrpc': '2.0', 'sec.
14415(10)	đ,	57	16	22	34	22	11	75	1i	it.	9	65	1	69	51	68	14	23	10	12	11	61	11	hed's 'auth client', 'per
TRISCH!	Ęİ.	63	33	22	34	TB	1Ĵ	73	+1	73	11	77	-	73	11	23	AL	22	-	75	2	61	白	ans": ("password": "falan
1102161	69	43	70	29	31	17	11	20	12	75		65		6E	1	63	-66	22	3h	22	ġi,	15	ίť.	lopy11", "unername": "ful
11433022	61	ff	69	2t	ii.	15	R	61	14	31	11	40	47	60	6	69	67	24	63	ŧł.	41	22	70	ani.fslanli@gnail.com"
E. O	all'	-	de la	**	110	20	10	0.0	-	-	-	**	-	20	-	-	C	-	-	-	100	-	-	200200000000000000000

Fig 3 Representation of information for Copy (Cloud application), which includes ID, Machine name, password and username.

# **IV. EXPERIMENT**

The algorithm was tested on Cloud\_Online\_Text\_Process memory image which was acquired using an open source tool, DumpIt. It was an image of computer memory with XP Operating System and with the size of 512 MB. The memory image, once available will pass through the algorithm as shown in figure 4.



## V. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

From the test, the information with regard to the machine has been retrieved by using the algorithm and listed in Table 1. From the table, it is noted that the memory was acquired from 32-bit system with Page Address Extension architecture after referring to the information in Processor Architecture and Identifier. Further, the computer name, operating system version and other important directory are also visible. Thus, with this knowledge, the analyst can use the process enumeration technique if he is interested in active process whilst the information such as computer name can be used as verification medium on the objects that reside in the memory so as to justify that the object come from the same source.

		1	Γ	Ał	3	I	J	Ξ	I		

Structure	Information
HOMEDRIVE	C:
COMPUTER NAME	KHAIRUL-61A6D79
OS	Window_NT
SystemRoot	C:\WINDOWS
PROCESS ARCHITECTURE	x86
PROCESSOR	X86 FAMILY 6 MODEL 58 STEPPING 9,
IDENTIFIER	GENUINE INTEL

By referring to figure 5, there were about 40 processes that have been identified and it was noted that the Internet browser, firefox.exe, had been loaded on computer at 15:18:56 on 17/12/2013 but exited on the same day at 15:26:26. Although the application had already exiled, there were separate structures that hold the data on Firefox tabs that were located at offset of 0x04c09f15 (H), 0x048a011 (H), 0x1b78b084 (H) and 0xe9cc06f (H). There were about 69 entries that hold the information about the tabs of Firefox and in Table II, it listed one of the entries that stored the



#### Publication Date : 25 June, 2014

information on the Gmail Inbox. By referring to Table II, the information about the Gmail Inbox such as the title, contents and ID can be obtained directly. In addition, the username and password for the Box Sync can be obtained at offset of 0x08bc1c28 where the information about it is attached in figure 6.

PROCESS	PID	PPID	STARTING TIME	END TIME
System	4	0		
Smss.exe	388	4	Tue, 17/12/2013, 14:37:57	
Csrss.exe	608	388	Tue, 17/12/2013 14:37:57	
Winlogon.exe	632	388	Tue, 17/12/2013 14:37:57	
Services.exe	676	632	Tue, 17/12/2013 14:37:57	
Sychost.exe	1092	676	Tue, 17/12/2013 14:37:57	
Svchost.exe	940	676	Tue, 17/12/2013 14:37:58	
Sychost.exe	1032	676	Tue, 17/12/2-013 14:37:58	
Sychost.exe	856	676	Tue, 17/12/2013 14:37:58	
Sychost.exe	1148	676	Tue, 17/12/2013 14:37:58	
Vmacthlp.exe	844	676	Tue, 17/12/2013 14:37:58	
SyncUpdaterSrv.exe	1616	676	Tue, 17/12/2013 14:38:02	
Sychost.exe	1032	676	Tue, 17/12/2013 14:38:02	
Wscentfy.exe	2008	1032	Tue, 17/12/2013 15:16:03	
		1022	T 1702-0012-17-17-10	
Wvaucit.exe	2312	1032	Tue, 17/12/2013 15:16:18	
Svchost.exe	1636	676	Tue, 17/12/2013 14:38:02	
Spoolsv.exe	1376	676	Tue, 17/12/2013 14:37:59	
Jqs.exe	1764	676	Tue, 17/12/2013 14:38:02	
Vintoolsd.exe	1904	676	Tue, 17/12/2013 14:38:02	
TP Auto Conn Sve	252	676	Tue, 17/12/2013 14:38:03	
TP Auto Conn Sve	1980	252	Tue, 17/12/2013 15:15:57	
Alg.exe	516	676	Tue, 17/12/2013 14:38:03	
Lsass.exe	688	632	Tue, 17/12/2013 14:37:57	
Userinit.exe	1284	632	Tue, 17/122013 15:15:56	
Explorer.exe	976	1284	Tue, 17/12/2013 15:15:56	
Rundll32.exe	1712	976	Tue, 17/12/2013 15:15:58	
Dropbox.exe	308	976	Tue, 17/12/2013 15:15:58	
Joshed.exe	1940	976	Tue, 17/12/2013 15:15:58	
Firefox.exe	3256	976	Tue, 17/12/2013 15:18:56	Tue, 17/12/2013 15:26:26
BoxSync.exe	1488	976	Tue, 17/12/2013 15:15:58	
BoxSync.exe	808	1488	Tue, 17/12/2013 15:16:03	
Box Sync Monitor	2188	808	Tue, 17/12/2013 15:16:13	
Vmtoolsd.exe	1492	976	Tue, 17/12/201315:15:56	
Cloud Me.exe	336	976	Tue, 17/12/2013 15:15:58	
Cmd.exe	2304	976	Tue, 17/12/2013 15:16:17	
Notepad.exe	4056	976	Tue, 17/12/2013 15:24:54	Tue, 17/12/2013 15:26:27
DumpIt.exe	2408	976	Tue, 17/12/2013 15:26:33	
Jas.exe	1808	748	Tue, 17/12/2013 11:54:45	

Fig 5 PBT for Cloud\_Online\_Text\_Process memory image

TABLE II INFORMATION ABOUT GMAIL INBOX

Structure	Information
Url	https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?shva=1/143 18f436105cb35
ID	42
docShellID	16
Title	Just one more step to get started on Facebook- fulani.fulan01@gmail.com
Contents	Formdata: Id = hist_state: inbox /14318f438d99fa09 Xpath: Scrool : "0,0" Last Accessed 0 Index: 10 hidden: false Attributes {} Image: https://mail.google.co/mail/u/0/images/favicon2.i co Storage: https://www.google.com Web::c\5d2c8e28c93f0c0 Web::c\5df2c8e28c93f0c0 open TrueType :Software\Microsoft\Windows NT\CurrentVersion\FontLink\SystemLink

In general, the ability to retrieve this sensitive information is unpredictable and random. This is due to the nature of computer memory which is volatile. Hence, depending on the activity and the size of the memory, this information will be overwritten easily if the memory is running low in space. However, as the technology advances and the total memory storage in the computer keeps on increasing, it is necessary to take it to a new step in tracking that information as the size increase will increase the possibility of chances to retrieve them.

Location: 0x08bc1c28
n= fulani.fulan01@gmail.com
password = fulanibox02
remember_login = on
login_submit = Login +In
dologin = 1
client_ID = dxn2555gstqdx81kt48q0havqnp41oax
response _ type = code
redirect_uri = https://box.com/static/sync_redirect.html
scope = root_readwriter
login =1
redirect url =
/api/oauth2/authorize?scope=&redirecturi=https://box.com/static/sync_redirect.html=response
request token = 1f4e5c0ec39932f08508ab1d7deaa9076659cde3ecbf5550e99fcd68f36080bd

Fig 6 Contents of metadata for Box Sync in memory image

# **VI.** CONCLUSION

From the result of the experiment, it shows that the algorithm can work well in retrieving information about the online application if the representative of the object still resides in the memory. The purpose of the paper is also to provide a technique that requires less expertise since the personnel involved in Digital Forensic come from different background and competency.

For future work, the study will be towards the analysis on the cookies and cache of the computer memory to obtain the sensitive information as there is a chance that those components may save some information related to the online application.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to present his gratitude towards CyberSecurity Malaysia and Universiti Teknologi Petronas for the help and support that had been accorded during the research period.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Internet World Stats: Usage and Population Statistics (published report), www.internetworldstats.com/emarketing.htm, Jan 14, 2014.
- [2] ElephantDrive, Cloud storage subscription growth statistics are huge, Sept 2012.
- [3] Hill, C.E., "What is the Definition of Digital Forensics?", in eHow, How to do just about everything(Unpublished work sytle). Unpublished
- [4] Jesee, K., "Using every part of the buffalo in Windows memory analysis(Published Journal style)". Journal Digital Investigation, vol 4: pp. 24-29, 2007.
- 4: pp. 24-29, 2007.
  [5] DFRWS." *Memparser Analysis Tool by Chris Betz*".(Unpublished work sytle). Unpublished
- [6] DFRWS. "Knilist Analysis Tool by George M. Garner Jr.". (Unpublished work sytle). unpublished



#### International Journal ofAdvances in Computer Science and Its Applications–IJCSIA Volume 4: Issue 2 [ISSN : 2250-3765]

- [7] Dhamdhere, D.M., "Operating Systems: A Concept based Approach." (Book style) 1 ed., McGrawHill, 2009.
- [8] Russinovich, M.E., D.A. Solomon, and A. Ionescu, "Windows®Internals Covering Windows Server® 2008 and Windows Vista®" (Book style). J. Pierce, Editor., Microsoft Press, 2009.
- [9] Amari, K., "Techniques and Tools for Recovering and Analyzing Data from Volatile Memory" (unpublished work style), SANS Institute, 2009.
- [10] Carrier, B.G., J.," A Hardware Based Memory Acquisition Procedure for Digital Investigations". (Published Journals style). Journal of Digital Investigation, 2004, March.
- [11] Schuster, A., "Searching for processes and threads in Microsoft Windows memory dump" (Published Journal style). Journal Digital Investigation, vol 3, pp. 10-16, 2006.
  [12] Dolan-Gavitt, B.," The VAD tree: A process eye view of physical
- [12] Dolan-Gavitt, B.," The VAD tree: A process eye view of physical memory" (Published Journal style). Journal Digital Investigation, pp. s62-s64, 2007
- [13] Stevens, D."XORSearch", (unpublished work style).2007, January 30.
- [14] AccessData Corporation, " Importance of memory Search and Analysis" (Published White Paper) Lindon, UT, 2006.
- [15] Burdach, M. "An Introduction to Windows memory forensic" (unpublished work style). Unpublished.
- [16] Ruichao Zhang, L. W., Shuhui Zhang. "Windows Memory Analysis Based on KPC" (Published Conference Proceedings sytle). In Proc of the 2009 Fifth International Conference on Information Assurance and Security, IEEE, Xi'An China.
- [17] S. M. Hejazi, C. T., M. Debbabi "Extraction of forensically sensitive information from windows physical memory". (Published Journal Style) Journal digital investigation vol 6, pp.S 1 2 1 – S 1 3 1, 2009
- [18] Schuster, A." PTFinder". 2006.(Unpnlished work style).unpublished.
- [19] Khairul Akram Zainol Ariffin, Ahmad Kamil Mahmood, Jafreezal Jaafar, and Solahuddin Shamsuddin, "Object Signature Search for Capturing Processes Memory," *International Journal of Computer and Communication Engineering* vol. 2, no. 6, 2013.
- [20] Khairul Akram Zainol Ariffin, Ahmad Kamil Mahmood, Jafreezal Jaafar, and Solahuddin Shamsuddin, "Holistic Approach for Memory Analysis in Windows System", Open Access Journal of Information System (OIJIS), ISBN: 978-979-18985-7-7, pp 687-693, 2013
- [21] Garfinkel, T., Pfaff, B., Chow, J., & Rosenblum, M. "lifetime is a systems problem (Published Conference Proceedings style)," In Proc of the ACM SIGOPS European Workshop, ACM, 2004

#### **About Authors :**



Khairul Akram earned his Bachelor and Master degrees with First Class Honours in System Engineering with Computer Engineering from University of Warwick, United Kingdom in 2008 and 2009 respectively. He later joined Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS (UTP) in 2010 to pursue his journey towards academic research and teaching courses to earn his PhD in Information System. During his time in UTP, a number of journal articles and conference papers have been produced and published internationally. Currently, he is appointed as Researcher in Digital Forensic Department, CyberSecurity Malaysia and has been entrusted with the research on embedded system forensics. His passion in research is towards algorithms, embedded system, image processing and audio authentication. He is a member of IET professional group.

#### Publication Date : 25 June, 2014



Ahmad Kamil Mahmood earned his Bachelor and Master degree in Actuarial Science and Statistics from the University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA in 1986 and 1988 respectively. He later joined UUM, Bank Negara Malaysia, and Public Service Department and PETRONAS. After 10 years in the industry, he continued his journey in the academia serving the Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS in 1998 teaching courses for the Bachelor Degree in ICT and BIS. He earned his PhD in Information Systems from the University of Salford, UK in 2005. With his research team, a number of journal articles and conference papers have been produced and published internationally. Currently, his industrial collaboration research projects keep him occupied while supervising 7 postgraduate students and assuming the Dean of Faculty of Science and IT.



Jafrezal Jaafar obtained B.Sc in Computer Science from Universiti Teknologi Malaysia in 1998, MAppSc. (IT) from RMIT University (Australia) in 2002 and PhD from University of Edinburgh (Scotland, UK) in 2009. Previously he works as System Engineer for several years. He is currently the Head of Department for the Computer &Information Sciences Department, Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS, Malaysia. His research interests are in the area of Soft Computing and HCI. He is actively involved in a number of research works and secured research grants in these areas. He has also produced numerous journal, conference and workshop papers.



With his knowledge and skills in various security domains, he is now entrusted to be the Chief Technology Officer at CyberSecurity Malaysia. He is also the research coordinator for CyberSecurity Malaysia.

