International Journal of Advances in Computer Networks and Its Security- IJCNS Volume 5: Issue 1 [ISSN : 2250-3757]

Publication Date : 30 April, 2015

# **DVRA-SN:** Neighbour Based Distance Vector **Routing Protocols for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks**

Mrinal Kanti Debbarma, Santanu K. Sen and Sudipta Roy

Abstract- MANET has emerged as one of the most focused and trust research area in the field of wireless networks and wireless mesh networks. A major thrust of the protocol designers towards the development and deployment of distance vector routing based protocols, irrespectively in wired, wireless or even in ad hoc and sensor networks is primarily because of the conceptual and implementation simplicity and elegance coupled with the minimum information requirement by each node. A good amount of research has been done in the past towards the improvement of routing algorithms in MANET, but the area has not become stable till date. This paper proposes a neighbour based routing protocol that supports a mobile node as special neighbours in the network for making packet forwarding decisions and creates route only when desired by the source node as in case of reactive routing protocol.

Keywords- MANET, Distance Vector Routing, DVRA-SN, Special Neighbours, Neighbouring Table Algorithm.component

#### Introduction I.

MANET is a collection of mobile devices denoted as nodes, which can communicate between themselves using wireless links without the need or intervention of any infrastructure like base stations, access points etc [1],[2]. A node in a MANET, which is equipped with a wireless transmitter and receiver (transceiver) and is powered by a battery, plays the dual role of a host and a router as well. Two nodes willing to communicate with each other need to be either in the direct common range of each other or should be assisted by other nodes acting as routers to carry forward the packets from a defined source to a destination in the best possible routing path [3], [4].

Mrinal Kanti Debbarma Department of Computer Science & Engineering National Institute of Technology Agartala,, India

Santanu K. Sen Department of Computer Science & Engineering Guru Nanak Institute of Technology, Sodepur, Kolkata India

Dr. Sudipta Roy Department of Information Technology, Triguna Sen School of Technology, Assam University, Silchar, Assam India

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) activity has standardized several routing protocols for MANET. Routing protocols are the backbone to provide efficient services in MANET, in terms of performance and reliability. Designing routing protocol in MANET is quite difficult and tricky compared to that of any classic or non-ad hoc (formal) network due to some inherent limitations of the MANET like dynamic nature of network topology, limited bandwidth, asymmetric links, scalability, mobility of nodes limited battery power and alike. Moreover, the intrinsic nature of the nodes to move freely and independently in any arbitrary direction by potentially changing ones link to other's on a regular basis, is really an exigent concern while designing the desired routing algorithm. MANET is IP based and the nodes have to be configured with a free IP address not only to send and receive messages, but also to act as router to forward traffic to some destination unrelated to its own use.

The main challenge to setup a MANET is that each node has to maintain the information required to route traffic properly and thus designing a routing protocol for MANET has several difficulties. Firstly, MANET has a dynamically changing topology as the nodes are mobile. However, this behavior favors routing protocols that dynamically discover route, Associativity Based Routing (ABR) [5]-[7] over conventional distance vector routing protocols (DVR) [8],[9]. Secondly, the fact that MANET lacks any structure and thus makes IP subnetting inefficient. Thirdly, limitation of battery power and power depletion of nodes due to large number of messages passed during cluster formation. Links in mobile networks could be asymmetric at times. If a routing protocol relies only on bi-directional links, the size and connectivity of the network may be severely limited; in other words, a protocol that makes use of unidirectional links can significantly reduce network partitions and improve routing performance. DVRP is one of two major routing protocols for communications approach that use packets which are sent over IP [9]. DVRP required routing how to report the distance of various nodes within a network or IP topology in order to determine the best and most efficient route for packets.

Finally, this new neighbour based DVR routing protocol is analyzed in this paper.

## п. Background Details

Distance Vector Routing Protocol [10] is a dynamic, distributed, asynchronous and iterative routing protocol where the routing tables are continuously updated with the information received from the neighbouring routers [10],[11] and operates by having each node j maintains a routing table, which contains a set of distance or cost  $\{D_{ij}(x)\}$ , where i is the



#### Publication Date : 30 April, 2015

neighbour of j. Neighbour j treats the neighbour k as the next hop for data packet destined for node x, if  $D_{jk}$ =mid i{ $(D_{ji})$ }.

As a distributed dynamic routing algorithm which is expected to adapt to changes in topology and traffic. The existing DVRA, though simple and conceptually elegant, suffers from some well-known problems like Count-to-Infirmity (CTI), slow convenience, looping, frequent route oscillation. Out of all these, the CTI is more vulnerable, which actually, made practical DVR out of race.

Though good amount of research has been done in the past towards the improvement of the basic DVRA [11], none of the proposed techniques like Hold-Down, Split Horizon and Poison Reverse have been able to satisfactory solve the problems in the DVRA. The routing table gives the shortest path to each destination and which route to get update and to keep the distance set in the table updated, each router exchanges routing table (RT) with all its neighbours periodically.

## ш. Proposed Routing Scheme-DVRA-SN

Let us assume, when a router R<sub>i</sub> after booting, joins a network, it first builds its own routing table DVRT<sub>i</sub> and then, after achieving stable routes, proceeds for the next operation of creating its own neighbour table NT<sub>i</sub>. Towards this it calls a subroutine NT\_Creation(), which has as its input, all the DVRTs received from the neighbours along with DVRT<sub>i</sub> itself. The subroutine NT\_Creation() is a very important module in the DVRA-SN, which is used by the router Rj to identify all its neighbours, such as FN, DN, CN, CDN, PN, LDN etc.[11], [12] and enters their identities in NT<sub>i</sub> under the appropriate column for each category of special neighbour. It is to be noted that a particular neighbour  $R_k$  of  $R_i$  may come under multiple special neighbour categories. In order for the router Rj, to identify a neighbour Rk under the special neighbour category it belongs to, the NT\_Creation module calls the following algorithms/subroutines.

The following algorithm named as DNT\_Creation, called by NT\_Creation, is created and maintained by a router  $R_j$ , after it joins a network, to create its own Dependent Neighbour Table (DNT) which shows the DNs and CDNs of Rj for all destinations except the neighbours. Unlike the NT, which is a neighbour-specific table, the DNT is a destination-specific table which keeps information regarding DN and CDN for each destination router in the network. The structure of the routing table and DNT was shown in Table 1 and Table 2, while illustrating the process of detection and utilization of special neighbours. As shown in Fig. 2, the DNT\_Creation algorithm, in turn, calls DN\_Detection and CDN\_Detection subroutines to identify which neighbours of  $R_j$  are its DNs and which neighbours are its CDNs for reaching which destination.

TABLE 1: STRUCTURE OF THE ROUTING TABLE OF ROUTER K  $$({\rm DVRT}_{\rm K})$$ 

DEST	DIST	NH

**DEST:** Destination, **DIST**: Distance, **NH**: Next hop router, **DN**: Dependent Neighbour, **CDN**: Co-dependent Neighbour, **FN**: Forwarding Neighbour, **PN**: Pendent Neighbour, **LDN**: Lost Destination Neighbour, **SN**: Sole Neighbour. **RT**: Routing Table, **PU**: Periodic Update, **TU**: Triggered update.

## TABLE 2: STRUCTURE OF THE DEPENDENT NEIGHBOUR TABLE OF ROUTER K (DNT\_K)

DEST	DN's of k	CDN's of k

A DVRT has N entries one corresponding to each known router in the N-node network. Each entry has 3 fields, namely, the identity of a destination router, the estimated distance (metric) of this router and, finally, the identity of the next-hop (NH) router, i.e., the FN in case of any remote router, for reaching that destination. In this paper we shall normally consider delay as the metric since the MDVRA [14] is expected to be fully adaptive, i.e., adaptive in respect of both topology and traffic. The short-form notations DEST<sub>i</sub>, DIST<sub>i</sub> and NH<sub>i</sub> will represent the values in the three fields of the i-th entry, i=1, 2, 3, ...., N in the DVRT of each router. The DVRT of the router R<sub>j</sub> will be denoted by DVRT<sub>F</sub>. It should be reiterated that the NH<sub>i</sub> field will identify either the destination itself or the FN, depending upon the DEST<sub>i</sub> being a neighbour or a non-neighbour.



Fig. 1: Sample Network to be used in discussing the special neighbours of router  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{F}}$  .



### International Journal of Advances in Computer Networks and Its Security– IJCNS Volume 5: Issue 1 [ISSN : 2250-3757]

Publication	Date :	30 A	pril,	2015
-------------	--------	------	-------	------

DEST	DIST	NH
С	D <sub>FC</sub>	С
Е	$D_{FE}$	E
G	D <sub>FG</sub>	G
D	$D_{FD}$	D
Н	$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{FH}}$	Н
Ι	$D_{\rm FI}$	D
А	$D_{FA}$	С
В	$D_{FB}$	С
J	$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{FJ}}$	Н

#### TABLE 3: ROUTING TABLE OF ROUTER F (DVRT<sub>F</sub>)

For each class of the special neighbours, we present the procedure for its identification (detection) and the method of its utilization cases of both topological and traffic, as may be significant. On the example network shown in the fig. 1 and view of this network as taken by taken by router F through the PU and TU of its DVRT coupled with exchange of DVRTs with its neighbours. We can also have two more tables namely, Neighbouring Table and Dependent neighbouring table for keeping track of special neighbours. Routing table of DVRT<sub>F</sub> shown in Table 3.

## IV. DVRA-SN Algorithm

The proposed routing algorithm, named as DVRA-SN which is Distance Vector Routing Algorithm with Special Neighbours towards Dependent Neighbour Detection (DN\_Detection) for a router k works in following steps:

**Step 1**: after it joins a network, to create its own Dependent Neighbour Table (DNT) which shows the DNs and CDNs of  $R_i$  for all destinations except the neighbours.

**Step 2**: unlike the NT, which is a neighbour-specific table, the DNT is a destination-specific table which keeps information regarding DN and CDN for each destination router in the network.

**Step 3**: the DNT\_Creation algorithm, in turn, calls DN\_Detection and CDN\_Detection subroutines to identify which neighbours of  $R_j$  are its DNs and which neighbours are its CDNs for reaching which destination.

**Step 4:** after receiving the DVRT<sub>k</sub> from its neighbour R<sub>k</sub>, R<sub>j</sub> checks each entry in the DVRT<sub>k</sub> one by one. If in the entry for destination R<sub>i</sub> (R<sub>i</sub>  $\neq$  R<sub>j</sub>) R<sub>j</sub> finds that the NH is R<sub>j</sub> itself, then R<sub>j</sub> identifies R<sub>k</sub> as its DN for reaching R<sub>i</sub> and enters this information in DNT<sub>j</sub>



Fig. 2: Shows the Flowchart on Neighbouring Detection for a router k

## A. Illustration 1

Let us consider in Figure 3 the failure of the link  $N_3$ - $N_4$  or of the router  $N_4$  itself. In either case, router  $N_3$  will simply not search for an alternative path for reaching  $N_4$ , since, its DNT shows that its only other neighbour  $N_2$  is a DN of  $N_3$  for reaching  $N_4$ , router  $N_3$  will simply accept the truth immediately without wasting time and, accordingly, advertise the bad news (link failure of  $N_3$ ,  $N_4$  or has become infinity) to its only neighbour  $N_2$  through DVRT<sub>N3</sub>.



Fig. 3 An Example network for illustrating the utility of Co- Neighbour



#### Publication Date : 30 April, 2015

## B. Illustration 2

Similarly, router  $N_2$ , as well as router  $N_1$  later, after receiving this bad news, will not look for any alternative path to reach  $N_4$  and will simply update their own routing tables showing  $N_4$  as unreachable. Thus, within a while, with only  $N_4$ number of message transmissions (MT), the whole network comes to a stable state

(ii) As shown in in Figure 4, if at any point of time,  $N_2$  finds that its neighbour  $N_3$  has become unreachable which could be due to the failure of the link  $N_2 N_3$  or due to failure of router  $N_3$ , Router  $N_2$  assigns, as an interim measure, probable infinity route (PRI) to distance  $D_{N2N3}$  (i.e  $D_{N2N3}$ =PRI) in its own routing table DVRT<sub>N2</sub>, and send this updated DVRT<sub>N2</sub> to all its neighbours, namely  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ , thereafter  $N_2$  waits until any reply in the form of DVRT arrives from both  $N_1$  and  $N_6$  within a stipulated time.

(iii) In the example network shown in figure 4, if the link  $N_1 N_2$  fails, then the concept CDN in association with that of the DN.



Fig. 4 An Example network for illustrating the utility of Co- Dependent Neighbour

Router  $N_2$ , after recognizing that  $N_1$  has become unreachable, does not take help from any of its remaining neighbours since all of them are its DNs for reaching router  $N_1$ . Then  $N_2$  advertises HTI distance to  $N_1$  to all its neighbours, namely,  $N_3$ ,  $N_5$  and  $N_6$ , through DVRT<sub>N2</sub>. Both  $N_5$ and  $N_6$ , after receiving the bad news from  $N_2$  through DVRTN<sub>2</sub>, looks for help to router  $N_3$  but gives up as  $N_3$  is their CDN for reaching  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  being their common FN. Hence both  $N_5$  and  $N_6$  update their respective DVRTs with HTI distance for router  $N_1$ . Router  $N_3$ , upon receiving this bad news from  $N_5$  and  $N_6$  regarding the unreachability of  $N_1$ , updates its own routing table DVRT<sub>N3</sub> by a HTI distance for node  $N_1$  since its only other neighbour  $N_4$  is a DN of  $N_3$  for reaching  $N_1$ .

## v. Conclusion

In this paper, we present a Special Neighbour-based Distance Vector routing protocol for MANETs, which mobile node can dynamically switch the running protocol between proactive and reactive modes. In this paper we studied the new approach which will result in less routing overhead than most of the routing algorithms.

Our future study will include algorithms for optimizing the node allocation and overcome certain network issues, like network-merging and network-partition. And evaluation of this protocol performance through simulator. We are now in the state of implementing this protocol in NS2.

## References

- [1] Albeto Leon-Garcia and Indra Widjaja, Communication Networks, Tata McGraw Hill, 2000
- [2] M. Abolhasan et al. " A review of routing protocols for mobile ad hoc networks" Elsevier Ad Hoc networks 2 1-22 (2004)
- [3] M. Gerla, C.C Chiang, and I. Zhang, "Tree Maulticast Strategies in Mobile, Multihop Wireless Networks," ACM/Baltzer Mobile Networks and Apps. J., 1988
- [4] S. Singh, M. Woo, and C. S. Raghavendra, "Power-Aware Routing in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks," Proc. ACM/IEEE MOBICOM '98, Oct. 1998.
- [5] S. Das, C. Perkins, E. Royer, "Ad hoc on demand distance vector (AODV) routing, Internet Draft", draft-ietf-MANETaodv-11.txt, work in progress, 2002.
- [6] G. Finn. "Routing and addressing problems in large metropolitan-scale internetworks", ISI Research Report ISU/RR-87-180, March, 1987.
- [7] H. Takagi and L. Kleinrock "Optimal Transmission Ranges for Randomly Distributed Packet Radio Terminals" IEEE Transactions on Communications, Vol.Com-32, No.3, March
- [8] M. Abolhasan et al. "A Review of Routing Protocols for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks" Elsevier Ad Hoc Networks 2 (2004) 1-22
- [9] A. S. Tenenbaum, "Computer Networks", 3rd Ed., PHI, 2000
- [10] M. K. Debbarma, S. K. Sen, Sudipta Roy. "A Review of DVR-based Routing Protocols for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks" International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 58– No.3, November 2012.
- [11] S. K. Ray, J. Kumar, S. K. Sen and J. Nath, "Modified Distance Vector Routing Scheme for a MANET", Proc. of the 13th National Conference on Communications (NCC) held at IIT, Kanpur during Jan 26-28, 2007, pp. 197-201.
- [12] M. K. Debbarma, S. K. Sen, Sudipta Roy "DVR-based MANET Routing Protocols Taxonomy" International Journal of Computer Science & Engineering Survey (IJCSES) Vol.3, No.5, October 2012



**Mrinal Kanti Debbarma,** Research Scholar, Department of Information Technology, Triguna Sen School of Technology, Assam University, Silchar, Assam. He is Assistant Professor of CSE Department of NIT Agartala His research interest includes in Mobile Ad-hoc Routing Protocols, Wireless Sensor Networks. He has 14 years of academic and 5 years Industrial experience. He has published technical papers in various International Journals and Conferences. Mr. Debbarma is a member of IAENG, IACSIT, IISRO and SCIEI.

