

Fractal Antennas: Shrinking the Size of Antenna

Ranjana Trivedi
ECE department
JIET Group of Institutions
Jodhpur, India
ranjana.trivedi@gmail.com

Ashish Mathur
ECE department
Shri Balaji College of Engineering & Technology
Jodhpur, India
ashish.mathur@gmail.com

Geetika Mathur
ECE department
Shri Balaji College of Engineering & Technology
Jodhpur, India
bhatigeetika@gmail.com

Shilpa Choudhary
ECE department
Jai Nrayan Vyas University
Jodhpur, India
shilpadchoudhary@gmail.com


Abstract— This paper will explain the theories and techniques for shrinking the size of an antenna through the use of fractals. Fractal antennas can obtain radiation pattern and input impedance similar to a longer antenna, yet take less area due to the many contours of the shape. Fractal antennas are a fairly new research area and are probable to have a promising future in many applications.

Keywords—Techniques, Fractal, Longer Antenna, Contours, Applications, Minkowski Loop

I. INTRODUCTION

In today world of wireless communications, there has been an increasing need for more compact and portable communications systems. Just as the size of circuitry has evolved to transceivers on a single chip, there is also a need to evolve antenna designs to minimize the size. Currently, many portable communications systems use a simple monopole with a matching circuit. However, if the monopole were very short compared to the wavelength, the radiation resistance decreases, the stored reactive energy increases, and the radiation efficiency would decrease. As a result, the matching circuitry can become quite complicated. As a solution to minimizing the antenna size while keeping high radiation efficiency, fractal antennas can be implemented. The fractal antenna not only has a large effective length, but the contours of its shape can generate a capacitance or inductance that can help to match the antenna to the circuit. Fractal antennas can take on various shapes and forms. For example, quarter wavelength monopole can be transformed into a similarly shorter antenna by the Koch fractal.

The next figure shows the different type of fractals which can be used as antennas.



II. FRACTAL DIPOLE ANTENNAS

A. Koch Fractal

The expected benefit of using a fractal as a dipole antenna is to miniaturize the total height of the antenna at resonance, where resonance means having no imaginary component in the input impedance. The geometry of how this antenna could be used as a dipole is shown in Fig 1.




Fig 1: - Geometry of Coach Dipole

A Koch curve is generated by replacing the middle third of each straight section with a bent section of wire that spans the original third. Each iteration adds length to the total curve which results in a total length that is 4/3 the original geometry:

$$Lenght_{Koch} = h \cdot \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^n \quad (1)$$

The miniaturization of the fractal antenna is exhibited by scaling each iteration to be resonant at the same frequency. The miniaturization of the antennas shows a greater degree of effectiveness for the first several iterations. The amount of scaling that is required for each iteration diminishes as the number of iterations increase. The total length of the fractals at resonance is increasing, while the height reduction is reaching an asymptote. Therefore, it can be concluded that the increased complexity of the higher iterations are not advantageous. The miniaturization benefits are achieved in the first several iterations

B. Fractal Loops

Loop antennas are well understood and have been studied using a variety of Euclidean geometry. They have distinct limitations, however. Resonant loop antennas require a large amount of space and small loops have very low input resistance. A fractal island can be used as a loop antenna to overcome these drawbacks. Two possible fractals fed as loop antennas are depicted in Fig. 2.




Fig. 2-Two possible fractal loop antennas

Fractals loops have the characteristic that the perimeter increases to infinity while maintaining the volume occupied. This increase in length decreases the required volume occupied for the antenna at resonance. For a small loop, this increase in length improves the input resistance. By raising the input resistance, these antennas can be more easily matched to a feeding transmission line.

C. Koch Loop

The starting pattern for the Koch loop that is used as a fractal antenna is a triangle. From this starting pattern, every segment of the starting pattern is replaced by the generators. The first four iterations are shown in Fig. 3. The starting pattern is Euclidean and, therefore, the process of replacing the segment with the generator constitutes the first iteration.




Fig. 3- First four iterations of Koch loop

The area of the fourth iteration of the fractal loop, which is a key parameter for small loop antennas, with radius R, is given by,

$$Area_{Koch\ loop} = \left(1 + \frac{3}{9} + \frac{12}{81} + \frac{48}{729} + \frac{192}{6561}\right) \frac{1}{2} \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} r^2 = \quad (2)$$

The area of a circle is given by

$$Area_{Circular\ loop} = \pi r^2$$

Therefore, if the two areas are compared:

$$\frac{Area_{Koch\ loop}}{Area_{Circular\ loop}} = 0.65$$

It can be seen that the area of the fourth iteration of the Koch loop is 35% smaller than a circumscribed circle. The perimeter of the fourth iteration of a Koch loop is given by

$$Perimeter_{Koch\ loop} = 3\sqrt{3}r\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^n$$

$$Perimeter_{Koch\ loop} = 16.42r$$

The circumference of a circle is:

$$Perimeter_{Circular\ loop} = 2\pi r$$

Therefore, the perimeter of a fourth iteration Koch loop is 2.6 times longer than a circumscribed circular loop.

$$\frac{Perimeter_{Koch\ loop}}{Perimeter_{Circular\ loop}} = 2.614$$

D. Minkowski Loop

Minkowski loop (Fig. 4) can be used to reduce the size of the antenna by increasing the efficiency with which it fills up its occupied volume with electrical length. A Minkowski fractal is analysed, where the perimeter is near one wavelength. Several iterations are compared with a square loop antenna to illustrate the benefits of using a fractal antenna.




Fig. 4- First three iterations of Minkowski loop

E. Sierpinski Sieve

So far, only the space saving benefits of fractal antennas have been exploited. There is another property of fractals that can be utilized in antenna

construction. Fractals have self-similarity in their geometry, which is a feature where a section of the fractal appears the same regardless of how many times the section is zoomed in upon. Self-similarity in the geometry creates effective antennas of different scales. This can lead to multiband characteristics in antennas, which is displayed when an antenna operates with a similar performance at various frequencies. The generation of the fractal is shown in Fig. 5. A Sierpinski sieve dipole can be easily compared to a bowtie dipole antenna, which is the generator to create the fractal. The middle third triangle is removed from the bowtie antenna, leaving three equally sized triangles, which are half the height of the original bowtie. The process of removing the middle third is then repeated on each of the new triangles. For an ideal fractal, process goes on for an infinite number of times.



Fig 5: Generation of Sierpinski sieve

III. ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES

Advantages of Fractal antenna technology are:

- Minituratization
- better input impedance matching
- wideband/multiband (use of one antenna instead of many)
- frequency independent (consistent performance over huge frequency range)
- reduced mutual coupling in fractal array antennas

Disadvantages of Fractal Antenna technology are:

- Gain loss
- Complexity
- Numerical limitations

IV. CONCLUSION

Many variations of fractal geometries have been incorporated into the design of antennas. Further work is required to get an understanding of the relationship between the performance of the antenna and the fractal dimension of the geometry that is utilized in its construction. This requires two courses of action. The first course of action requires that many more examples of fractal geometries are applied to antennas. The second crucial course of action is to attain a better understanding of the

fractal dimension of the geometries such that correlations can be drawn about this dimension and the performance of the antenna. Also important is that the design of the antenna approaches an ideal fractal as much as possible. so this paper explained different Fractal approaches and how it can reduce the size of antennas for requirement. It also shows the advantages and disadvantages of fractal antennas.

- [1] C.P.Baliarda, J.Romeu, and A.Cardama,"The Koch Monopole: A Small Fractal Antenna", IEEE Trans.Antennas and Wave Propagation, vol.48, pp.1773-1781, November 2000.
- [2] "New fractal antennas for compact and versatile telecommunication services", Microwave Journal, vol.43, pp.196, January 2000.
- [3] J.Romeu and J.Soler,"Generalized Sierpinski Fractal Multiband Antenna", IEEE Trans.Antennas and Propagation, vol.49, no.8, pp.1237-1239, August 2001.
- [4] J.P.Gianvittorio, Y.Rahmat-Samii,"Fractal Antennas: a novel antenna miniaturization technique, and applications", IEEE antennas and Propagation Magazine, vol.44, February 2002.
- [5] <http://www.fractenna.com/T,Fractal Antenna System INC>.